CS2910 - Optimization Summer 2023

Lecture A. Optimal Transport

Lecturer: Yunwei Ren Scribed by Zhidan Li

Contents

[1 Overview](#page-0-0) 1

1 Overview

In this (advanced) lecture, we introduce a type of optimization problems called the *optimal trans*port. In this lecture, we focus on the optimal 'cost' of transporting one probability measure to another. For a state space Ω , let $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ be the collection of all Borel sets in Ω .

Definition 1 (probability measure). Given the state space $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ equipped with the Borel algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d), \mu : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to [0,1]$ is said to be a **probability measure** if

- $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$.
- $\mu(\mathbb{R}^d) = 1$.
- For any disjoint measurable set A_1, A_2, \ldots ,

$$
\mu(A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \ldots) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(A_i).
$$

We use $P(\mathbb{R}^d)$ to denote the space of all probability measures over \mathbb{R}^d .

Also, for more concise and precise description, we introduce the *coupling* of two probability measures.

Definition 2 (coupling). For two probability measures $\mu, \nu \in P(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we say $\gamma : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to [0,1]$ is a **coupling** of μ and ν if:

• For all measurable $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
\mu(A) = \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} d\gamma(A, y).
$$

• For all measurable $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
\nu(B) = \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} d\gamma(x, B).
$$

2 Optimal Transport

Now we describe the optimal transport in details. For a transport map $T : \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, define the operator $T_{\#}: P(\mathbb{R}^d) \to P(\mathbb{R}^d)$ as: for all measurable set $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$
T_{\#}\mu(E) = \mu(T^{-1}(E)).
$$

Definition 3 (Monge's problem). Given two probability measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^d$, a cost function c: $\mathbb{R}^d\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ meaning the cost of moving 1 unit of particles. Then, the **Monge's problem** is the following optimization problem:

$$
\min_{T} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} c(x, T(x)) d\mu(x)
$$

s.t. $T_{\#}\mu = \nu$. (1)

We also call this optimization problem (MP).

Figure 1: an illustration of Monge's problem

However, note that, for general μ and ν , the feasible transport map T does not necessarily exist. Here we give an example.

Let $\mu = \delta_0$ and $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}\delta_{-1} + \frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}\delta_1$, where δ_x is the Dirac measure at x (only has point mass at x). Since the transport map cannot move particles from one position to multiple positions, it is impossible to transport μ to ν .

Figure 2: an example that there is no feasible transport map.

To handle this case, we use the coupling of two probability measures instead of transport map.

Definition 4 (Kantorovich's problem). Given two probability measures $\mu, \nu \in \mathbb{R}^d$, a cost function $c: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ meaning the cost of moving 1 unit of particles. Then, the **Kantorovich's** problem is the following optimization problem:

$$
\min_{\gamma} \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} c(x, y) d\gamma(x, y)
$$
\n
$$
s.t. \gamma \text{ is a coupling of } \mu, \nu.
$$
\n(2)

For convenience we call this optimization problem (KP).

The coupling γ is also named as a *transport plan*. Note that, under the assumptions in Definition [4,](#page-1-1) there always exists a feasible coupling, since $\gamma = \mu \otimes \nu$ is always a coupling $(\mu \otimes \nu)$ is defined as, for all measurable $A, B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$, $(\mu \otimes \nu)(A, B) = \mu(A)\nu(B)$.

Though γ does always exist, it's unfortunate that (KP) does not always admit a solution. We note that, under some regular conditions, (KP) admits a solution.

2.1 Kantorovich duality

To establish a solution to (KP), similarly to the things we do in Lecture 3, we introduce a kind of dual property called Kantorovich duality.

Let $\Pi(\mu, \nu)$ be the collection of all couplings of μ and ν , and $\mathcal{M}_+(\Omega)$ be the collection of all measures on Ω . Now we define the indicator function $\iota_{\Pi(\mu,\nu)} : \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d) \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\},\$

$$
\iota_{\Pi(\mu,\nu)}(\gamma) = \begin{cases} 0, & \gamma \in \Pi(\mu,\nu) \\ \infty & \gamma \notin \Pi(\mu,\nu). \end{cases}
$$

Additionally, let $C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the collection of continuous and bounded functions on \mathbb{R}^d . Now we use affine functions to express $\iota_{\Pi(\mu,\nu)}$.

Lemma 5. It holds that

$$
\iota_{\Pi(\mu,\nu)}(\gamma) = \sup_{\varphi,\psi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \, d\mu(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(y) \, d\nu(y) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi(x) + \psi(y)) \, d\gamma(x,y) \right\}.
$$

Proof. Note that

$$
L(\gamma, \varphi, \psi) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) d\mu(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(y) d\nu(y) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (\varphi(x) + \psi(y)) d\gamma(x, y)
$$

=
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) d\left(\mu(x) - \int_{y \in \mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(x, dy)\right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(y) d\left(\nu(y) - \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \gamma(dx, y)\right).
$$

When $\gamma \in \Pi(\mu, \nu)$, it is clear that by definition $L(\gamma, \varphi, \psi) = 0$. When $\gamma \notin \Pi(\mu, \nu)$, since we can put all 'weights' of function φ or ψ on any point $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\iota_{\Pi(\mu,\nu)}(\gamma)$ is larger than any arbitrary positive real. Thus $\iota_{\Pi(\mu,\nu)}(\gamma) = \infty$. \Box

Now it is equivalent to solve the following optimization problem.

$$
\min_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \sup_{\varphi, \psi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (c(x,y) - \varphi(x) - \psi(y)) d\gamma(x,y) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) d\mu(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(y) d\nu(y) \right\}.
$$

Interchanging the infimum and supremum, we obtain the following optimization problem

$$
\sup_{\varphi,\psi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \, d\mu(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(y) \, d\nu(y) + \min_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (c(x,y) - \varphi(x) - \psi(y)) \, d\gamma(x,y) \right\} \right\}.
$$
 (3)

Now we focus on the term

$$
\min_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (c(x,y) - \varphi(x) - \psi(y)) \, d\gamma(x,y) \right\}.
$$

Let $(\varphi \oplus \psi)(x, y) = \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$. It is clear that

$$
\min_{\gamma \in \mathcal{M}_+(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} (c(x, y) - \varphi(x) - \psi(y)) d\gamma(x, y) \right\} = \begin{cases} 0 & \varphi \oplus \psi \le c, \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Then we derive the dual problem

$$
\sup_{\varphi,\psi \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \, d\mu(x) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(y) \, d\nu(y) \right\}
$$
\n
$$
\text{s.t. } \varphi \oplus \psi \le c. \tag{4}
$$

Theorem 6. Under some mild conditions the strong duality holds. When the strong duality holds, the optimum can be attained by some c-concave pair.