CS2910 - Optimization Summer 2023

Lecture 6 — Proximal Gradient Descent Lecturer: Yunwei Ren Scribed by Zhidan Li

# **Contents**



## <span id="page-0-0"></span>1 Overview

In this lecture, we focus on how to solve the optimization problem for much more families of convex functions. We will generalize a method of gradient descent named the proximal gradient descent. Similarly to how to generalize Wasserstein gradient flow, we will introduce a gradient-like term sub-gradient — to illustrate how to well define the descent along the gradient. Based on the term we introduced, we will show the analysis of its rate of convergence.

### <span id="page-0-1"></span>2 Non-Smooth Convex Function and Sub-Gradient

Recall the optimization problem:

<span id="page-0-2"></span>
$$
\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x). \tag{1}
$$

If  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is a convex function, the gradient of f is well-defined and we safely employ gradient descent to get an approximate solution to it (without consider the rate of convergence). However, if f is still continuous and convex but not necessarily a  $C<sup>1</sup>$  function, gradient descent fails since it seems hard to say the existence of the gradients at every point of f. We need to introduce a new term to describe the structure of f.

**Definition 1** (sub-gradient). Given a convex function  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \cup \{\infty\}$ , we say a vector  $p \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is a sub-gradient of f at point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  if

$$
f(y) \ge f(x) + \langle y - x, p \rangle, \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^d.
$$

The collection of sub-gradients at point x, denoted by  $\partial f(x)$ , is called the sub-differential of f at x.

Remark 1. When  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is convex, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\partial f(x) = \{ \nabla f(x) \}$ ; since f is a convex function, the optimal point  $x_* = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \{f(x)\}\$ is equivalent to  $0 \in \partial f(x)$ .

Examples: Consider  $f(x) = ||x||_2$ . It is not hard to see f is a continuous convex function.

- When  $x \neq 0$ , f is a  $C_1$  function. Then  $\partial f(x) = {\nabla f(x)} = {\text{sign}(x)}$ .
- When  $x = 0$ . We claim,  $\partial f(0) = \{ p \in \mathbb{R}^d : ||p||_2 \le 1 \}.$

*Proof.* For all  $||p||_2 \leq 1$ , it holds that

$$
\langle p, y \rangle \le ||p||_2 \cdot ||y||_2 \le ||y||_2.
$$

This means  $p \in \partial f(0)$ . On the other hand, for all  $p \in \partial f(0)$ , it holds that for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $||y||_2 \ge \langle p, y \rangle$ . We choose  $y = p$ , then  $||p||_2^2 \le ||p||_2$ , thus leading to  $||p||_2 \le 1$ .  $\Box$ 

### <span id="page-1-0"></span>3 Proximal Gradient Descent

Now we introduce how to solve the optimization problem  $(1)$  when f is a non-smooth convex function.

$$
\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x) = g(x) + h(x) \tag{2}
$$

where g is a function with some 'nice' properties, e.g.,  $L$ -smoothness and convexity, and h is a function with some special structures, but might be non-differentiable.

#### Examples:

- Lasso function  $f(\beta) = \frac{1}{2} ||X\beta y||_2^2 + ||\beta||_1$ .
- The convex constraints  $f(x) = g(x) + \iota_D(x)$ .

For this kind of optimization problem, it seems that gradient descent does not work, due to the function h.

Recall that, in each step of gradient descent, we choose  $x_{k+1}$  as

$$
x_{k+1} = \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\text{argmin}} \left\{ f(x_k) + \langle \nabla f(x_k), x - x_k \rangle + \frac{1}{2\eta} ||x - x_k||_2^2 \right\}.
$$

Although f is non-differentiable, g is differentiable. This inspires us to just make quadratic approximation to g and leave h alone.

Now, consider

$$
x_{*} = \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ g(x_{k}) + \langle \nabla g(x_{k}), x - x_{k} \rangle + \frac{1}{2\eta} ||x - x_{k}||_{2}^{2} + h(x) \right\}
$$

$$
= \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\eta} ||x - (x_{k} - \eta \nabla g(x_{k}))||_{2}^{2} + h(x) \right\}.
$$

The first term means  $x_*$  must be located near the local minimum of g, and the second term means  $x_*$  should not make h large.

**Definition 2.** Let  $h : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{\infty\}$  be a proper, convex function. We define the proximal mapping  $\text{prox}_{h}: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$  as

$$
\text{prox}_{h}(x) = \underset{z \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\text{argmin}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} ||z - x||_2^2 + h(z) \right\}.
$$

Then we can describe the proximal gradient descent. Given a step size  $\eta > 0$ , at each step k, for  $x_k$ , we update

$$
x_{k+1} = \text{prox}_{\eta h}(x_k - \eta \nabla g(x_k)).
$$

We would rewrite it as the following form:

$$
x_{k+1} = x_k - \eta G_{\eta}(x_k)
$$

where the function  $G_n(x)$  is generalized by

$$
G_{\eta}(x) = \frac{x - \text{prox}_{\eta h}(x - \eta \nabla g(x))}{\eta}.
$$

Remark 2. Since h is convex and the function  $z \mapsto \frac{1}{2} ||z - x||_2^2$  $\frac{2}{2}$  is strongly convex, the function 1  $\frac{1}{2}||z-x||_2^2 + h(z)$  has the unique minimizer, which means the function  $\text{prox}_h$  is well-defined. Additionally, we would say that, under the assumption that h has some special structure,  $prox<sub>h</sub>$  is easy to compute.

Now we give an example for proximal gradient descent. We would view projected gradient descent

$$
\min_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{2} \|x - z\|_2^2 + \iota_D(z).
$$

as a kind of proximal gradient descent. By definition, we compute

$$
\text{prox}_{\eta h}(x) = \underset{z \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\text{argmin}} \left\{ \eta \iota_D(x) + \frac{1}{2} ||x - z||_2^2 \right\}
$$

$$
= \underset{z \in D}{\text{argmin}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} ||x - z||_2^2 \right\} = \Pi_D(x).
$$

This means the projection is some kind of proximal mapping.

### <span id="page-2-0"></span>3.1 Interpretation of proximal gradient descent

In this part, we give some interpretations of proximal gradient descent. Let  $y_k \stackrel{\triangle}{=} x_k - \eta \nabla g(x_k)$ . We write the update of proximal gradient descent as

$$
x_{k+1} = \underset{z \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\text{argmin}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} ||z - y_k|| + \eta h(z) \right\}
$$

$$
= \underset{z \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\text{argmin}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\eta} ||z - y_k|| + h(z) \right\}
$$

Intuitively, proximal gradient descent minimizes  $g$  and  $h$ , instead of only minimizing  $g$ . Since when  $z \neq y_k$ ,  $||z - y_k|| > 0$ , by the optimality of  $x_{k+1}$ , it must hold that  $h(x_{k+1}) < h(y)$ . The larger  $||z - y_k||$  is, the smaller  $h(z) - h(y)$  is. This intuition can be seen as a generalization of the interpretation of each step of gradient descent (or mirror descent).

#### <span id="page-3-0"></span>3.1.1 Proximal gradient descent and backward Euler method

If h is differentiable, we write

$$
x_{k+1} = \underset{z \in \mathbb{R}^d}{\text{argmin}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\eta} \|z - y_t\| + h(z) \right\}.
$$

It is equivalent to

$$
\frac{1}{\eta} (x_{k+1} - y_k) + \nabla h(x_{k+1}) = 0.
$$

This means  $x_{k+1}$  is the solution to the following ODE

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
x_{k+1} = y_k - \eta \nabla h(x_{k+1}).\tag{3}
$$

If  $y_k = x_k$ , then  $h = f$  and [\(3\)](#page-3-2) is exactly the *backward Euler method*. We compare it with the *Euler* method

<span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
x_{k+1} = x_k - \eta \nabla f(x_k). \tag{4}
$$

Note that [\(4\)](#page-3-3) is an explicit form of the update rule and [\(3\)](#page-3-2) is an implicit form of update. Usually [\(3\)](#page-3-2) has more precise approximation and faster convergence, but for implementation [\(4\)](#page-3-3) is more common and useful.

#### <span id="page-3-1"></span>3.2 Rate of convergence

Now we analyze the rate of the convergence of proximal gradient descent. The analysis is similar to what we do in gradient descent and mirror descent.

<span id="page-3-4"></span>**Lemma 3** (mirror descent lemma for the proximal step). Given  $f = g+h$  where g is a differentiable convex function and h is a convex function, and a step size  $\eta > 0$ , let  $\{x_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  be the proximal gradient descent generated by f. For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , define  $y_k = x_k - \eta \nabla g(x_k)$ . Then for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , it holds that

$$
h(x_{k+1}) \leq h(x) + \frac{1}{2\eta} \left( \|y_k - x\|_2^2 - \|x_{k+1} - x\|_2^2 - \|y_k - x_{k+1}\|_2^2 \right).
$$

*Proof.* Since  $x_{k+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_{z \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \right\}$  $\frac{1}{2}||z-y_k||_2^2 + \eta h(z) \Big\},$  it holds that

$$
\frac{y_k - x_{k+1}}{\eta} \in \partial h(x_{k+1})
$$

By lower linear bound, for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , it holds that

$$
h(x_{k+1}) \le h(x) - \frac{1}{\eta} \langle y_k - x_{k+1}, x_{k+1} - x \rangle
$$
  
=  $h(x) + \frac{1}{2\eta} \left( \|y_k - x\|^2 - \|x_{k+1} - x\|_2^2 - \|y_k - x_{k+1}\|^2 \right)$ 

where the last equality holds by the law of cosines.

Now we establish the convergence rate of proximal gradient descent.

**Proposition 4** (convergence rate of proximal gradient descent). Given  $f = g + h$  where g is a differentiable convex L-smooth function and h is a convex function, and a step size  $\eta \leq 1/L$ , let  ${x_k}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  be the proximal gradient descent generated by f. Let  $x_* = \operatorname{argmin}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} \{f(x)\}.$  Then, we have

$$
\frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{T} f(x_k) \le f(x_*) + \frac{\|x_* - x_0\|^2}{2\eta T}.
$$

Proof. Since g is an L-smooth convex function, it holds that

$$
g(x_{k+1}) \le g(x_k) + \langle \nabla g(x_k), x_{k+1} - x_k \rangle + \frac{L}{2} ||x_{k+1} - x_k||^2.
$$
  
\n
$$
\le g(x_*) - \langle \nabla g(x_k), x_* - x_k \rangle + \langle \nabla g(x_k), x_{k+1} - x_k \rangle + \frac{L}{2} ||x_{k+1} - x_k||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
= g(x_*) + \langle \nabla g(x_k), x_{k+1} - x_* \rangle + \frac{L}{2} ||x_{k+1} - x_k||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
= g(x_*) + \frac{1}{\eta} \langle x_k - y_k, x_{k+1} - x_* \rangle + \frac{L}{2} ||x_{k+1} - x_k||^2.
$$

With Lemma [3](#page-3-4) applied, we obtain

$$
f(x_{k+1}) \le f(x_*) - \frac{1}{\eta} \langle x_k - x_{k+1}, x_* - x_{k+1} \rangle + \frac{L}{2} ||x_{k+1} - x_k||_2^2
$$
  
=  $f(x_*) - \frac{1}{2\eta} (||x_k - x_{k+1}||^2 + ||x_* - x_{k+1}||^2 - ||x_* - x_t||^2) + \frac{L}{2} ||x_{k+1} - x_k||_2^2$   
=  $f(x_*) - \left(\frac{1}{2\eta} - \frac{L}{2}\right) ||x_k - x_{k+1}||^2 + \frac{1}{2\eta} ||x_* - x_{k+1}||^2 - \frac{1}{2\eta} ||x_* - x_t||^2.$ 

When  $\eta \leq 1/L$ , it holds that

$$
f(x_{k+1}) \le f(x_*) + \frac{1}{2\eta} \|x_* - x_{k+1}\|^2 - \frac{1}{2\eta} \|x_* - x_t\|^2.
$$

Summing over both sides we prove the proposition.

 $\Box$ 

$$
\Box
$$